

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/855,556	05/16/2001	Timothy Warner	01101	1507	
23338	7590 07/07/	2003			
DENNISON, SCHULTZ & DOUGHERTY			EXAMINER		
	RSON DAVIS HIG N, VA 22202	łWAY	COMBS, J.	COMBS, JANELL A	
-			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1742		
				DATE MAILED: 07/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			11				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisor, Action	09/855,556	WARNER, TIMOTH	IY				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Janelle Combs-Morillo	1742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 11 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in				
<u>PERIOD FOR R</u>	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date		6 1 2 2 3 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment				
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request f application in condition for allowance because: §		sidered but does NO	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:	Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 15</u> .	Claim(s) rejected: 1-7 and 15						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-14.							
• •							
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 009/855,556





Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: "as cast grain size" as well as "the addition of nucleant particles" has not previously been claimed, and would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the argument that Miyasato doesn't teach the as cast grain size or the addition of Ti and B as nucleant particles is most because the amendment filed June 11, 2003 has not been entered (see above for reason). Because the prior art teaches a partly recrystallized aluminum alloy with substantially the same composition and processed substantially as presently claimed, the rejection is deemed proper (see final rejection, paper no. 7 for details).

GEORGE WYSZOMIERSKI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Jem